

Peer-reviewed Conference Contribution

In-situ experimental study on heat exchange capacity of long-span energy tunnel exchangers

Wen Guan¹ and Xiaohui Cheng^{1,*}¹Department of Civil Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China* Corresponding author: chengxh@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn

Energy tunnel is a new type of geothermal exchanger in ground source heat pump systems due to their technical and cost improvements over traditional borehole geothermal exchangers. The main reason that the factors affecting the heat exchange capacity of energy tunnel are unclear makes its development be restricted. An in-situ full-scale study using the thermal response tests (TRT) and thermal performance tests (TPT) is performed to investigate the factors affecting the heat exchange capacity in Badaling Energy Tunnel of Beijing-Zhangjiakou High-speed Railway. This paper analyzes the influences of constant heating power, inlet water temperature, air temperature in tunnel, circulating water flow velocity, operation mode, and pipe connection on the heat exchange capacity of the energy tunnel. The test results reveal that (1) the heat exchange rate of the energy tunnel decreases with the increasing constant heating power, (2) the heat exchange rate of the energy tunnel is positively proportional to the inlet water temperature, (3) the heat exchange rate of the energy tunnel at a circulating water flow velocity of 1.1 m³/h is larger than that at 0.5 m³/h, it is smaller than that at 0.8 m³/h, (4) the air temperature in tunnel has a great effect on the heat exchange rate of the energy tunnel, and the pipes connected in parallel or connected in series have a slight effect on the heat exchange rate of the energy tunnel, (5) the average heat exchange rate in an intermittent operation mode is approximately 18.5% larger than that in a continuous operation mode. The test results can be used for the thermal design of energy tunnels.

Contributor statement

Wen Guan: In-situ experiment and writing; Xiaohui Cheng: Doctoral supervisor

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from China Scholarship Council (201706465003) and Beijing capital highway development group co., LTD.

References

- [1] Brandl, H. (2006). Energy Foundations and Other Thermo-active Ground Structures. *Geotechnique*, 56(2), 81-122
- [2] Brandl, H. (2016). Geothermal Geotechnics for Urban Undergrounds. *Procedia Engineering*, 165, 747-764
- [3] Frodl, S., Bartl, T. (2010). Design and Construction of the Tunnel Geothermal System in Jenbach. *Geomechanik Und Tunnelbau*, 3(5), 658-668
- [4] International Energy Agency. (2022). *World Energy Outlook 2022*. Paris: OECD/IEA
- [5] Jeong, S., Lim, H., Lee, J. K., et al. (2014). Thermally Induced Mechanical Response of Energy Piles in Axially Loaded Pile Groups. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 71(1), 608-615
- [6] Lee, C., Parka, S., Wona, J., et al. (2012). Evaluation of Thermal Performance of Energy Textile Installed in Tunnel. *Renewable Energy*, 42, 11-22
- [7] Moradshahi, A., Faizal, M., Bouazza, A., et al. (2021). Effect of Nearby Piles and Soil Properties on Thermal Behaviour of a Field-Scale Energy Pile. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 58(9), 1351-1364
- [8] Moormann, C. (2010). GeoTU6 – a Geothermal Research Project for Tunnels. *Tunnel*, 29(2), 14-21
- [9] Ng, C. W. W., Shi, C., Gunawan, A., et al. (2015). Centrifuge Modelling of Heating Effects on Energy Pile Performance in Saturated Sand. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 52(8), 1045-1057
- [10] You, S., Cheng, X. H., Guo, H. X., et al. (2014). In-situ Experimental Study of Heat Exchange Capacity of CFG Pile Geothermal Exchangers. *Energy and Buildings*, 79, 23-31
- [11] You, S., Cheng, X. H., Guo, H. X., et al. (2016). Experimental Study on Structural Response of CFG Energy Piles. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 96, 640-651
- [12] Zhang, G. Z., Guo, Y. M., Zhou, Y., et al. (2016). Experimental Study on the Thermal Performance of Tunnel Lining GHE under Groundwater Flow. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 106, 784-795